



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/864,128	05/24/2001	Filips Van Liere	NL 000279	1112
24737	7590	04/19/2005	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			YANG, RYAN R	
P.O. BOX 3001				
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2672	

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/864,128	VAN LIERE, FILIPS
	<b>Examiner</b> Ryan R Yang	<b>Art Unit</b> 2672

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 December 2004.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                  2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  
 If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some \* c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____  |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)         | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/8/2004 has been entered.
2. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment, filed on 12/8/2004. This action is non-final.
3. Claims 1-13 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 7 and 13 are independent claims. In the Amendment, filed on 12/8/2004, claims 1, 7 and 13 were amended.
4. This application claims foreign priority dated 5/24/2000.
5. The present title of the invention is "Method and apparatus for shorthand processing of medical image, wherein mouse positionings and/or actuations will immediately control inherent image processing functions, and a pertinent computer program" as filed originally.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable in view of Kamen et al. (6,229,541).

As per claim 1, Kamen et al., hereinafter Kamen, discloses a method for providing and processing a cursor user interaction with a spatially displayed medical image and performing image processing on said medical image, said method comprises the steps of:

providing a menu-less graphical interface having a plurality of sensitive areas, each sensitive area is positioned at predetermined relative position with respect to an associated medical image display field and with respect to at least one additional sensitive area (Figure 1, where item 4a to 4d correspond to a “template”, and “in one embodiment, screen 2 displays a still image”, column 3, line 1-4, each one of the area is considered a predetermined relative position with respect to an associated image and with respect to the other sensitive areas), wherein each of the plurality of sensitive areas is associated with one of a plurality of different cursors (“when cursor 8 is moved to one of regions 4a to 4d, cursor 8 changes appearance (e.g. cursor changes shape, color, light intensity, or otherwise manifests a changed appearance”, column 3, line 46-49); and

controlling a mouse configured such that positionings of said mouse within each of said plurality of sensitive areas causes display of one of the plurality of different cursors (“A user manipulates cursor 8 with a control device, e.g. a remote controller 10 comprising a joystick, trackball, mouse, touch pad (e.g. touch pad 11a) or appropriate control buttons 11b”, column 23-27) and allows activation and control of a plurality of inherent processing functionalities respectively associated with each of said plurality of sensitive areas (“templates can be used for secure link (process) activation”, see

Art Unit: 2672

abstract, line 8-9, and "If a user moves cursor 8 to region 4a, a link is invoked corresponding to region 4a. If the user moves cursor 8 to region 4b, a link is invoked corresponding to region 4b, and so forth" (column 3, line 26-29), where the inherent processing functionalities are invoked by the link).

As for medical image display field, since medical image is a genre of image, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to extend the application to medical image in order to easily present a medical image.

7. As per claim 7, Kamen discloses an apparatus for providing and processing censored user interactions with a spatially displayed medical image and for producing graphics related data on said medical image, said apparatus comprises all the elements as in claim 1, and, therefore, is similarly rejected as claim 1.

8. Claims 3-5, 9-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamen et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Chekerylla (6,084,598).

As per claims 3 and 9, Kamen demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of independent claims 1 and 7, supra, respectively.

Kamen discloses a method using templates to invoke a process. It is noted that Kamen does not explicitly disclose selecting image mirror or rotation transformations, however, this is known in the art as taught by Chekerylla. Chekerylla discloses a method of processing input through a plurality of buttons including image mirror or rotation transformation (Figure 2 and 5).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Chekerylla into Kamen because Kamen discloses a method using templates to invoke a process and Chekerylla discloses the process can be image mirroring and rotation in order to quickly and easily manipulate an image.

9. As per claims 4 and 10, Kamen demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of independent claims 1 and 7, supra, respectively.

Kamen discloses a method using templates to invoke a process. It is noted that Kamen does not explicitly disclose selecting image zoom or pan transformations, however, this is known in the art as taught by Chekerylla. Chekerylla discloses a method of processing input through a plurality of buttons including image zoom or pan transformations (Figure 14 1402 and 1410).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Chekerylla into Kamen because Kamen discloses a method using templates to invoke a process and Chekerylla discloses the process can be image zooming or pan transformation in order to quickly and easily manipulate an image.

10. As per claims 5 and 11, Kamen demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of independent claims 1 and 7, supra, respectively.

Kamen discloses a method using templates to invoke a process. It is noted that Kamen does not explicitly disclose selecting shutter masking of the display field, however, this is known in the art as taught by Chekerylla. Chekerylla discloses a

method of processing input through a plurality of buttons including selecting shutter masking of the display field ("the computer program ... uses bitmap masks to apply the changes to an irregular section of the whole image", column 8, line 7-12).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Chekerylla into Kamen because Kamen discloses a method using templates to invoke a process and Chekerylla discloses the process can be selecting shutter masking of the display field in order to quickly and easily manipulate an image.

11. As per claim 13, Chekerylla discloses a machine-readable computer program, said program being arranged for processing a cursored user interaction with a spatially displayed medical image and performing image processing on said medical image, said method comprises all the steps as in rejected claim 1, except the step: controlling outputting representations of said processing functionalities.

Kamen discloses a method using templates to invoke a process. It is noted that Kamen does not explicitly disclose controlling outputting representations of said processing functionalities, however, this is known in the art as taught by Chekerylla. Chekerylla discloses a method of processing input through a plurality of buttons the where image can be manipulated (see Figure 7-14).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Chekerylla into Kamen because Kamen discloses a method using templates to invoke a process and Chekerylla

discloses the process includes image manipulation in order to quickly and easily manipulate an image.

As for medical image display field, since medical image is a genre of image, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to extend the application to medical image in order to easily manipulate a medical image.

12. Claims 2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamen as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Motzer (6,301,512).

As per claims 2 and 8, Kamen demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of independent claims 1 and 7, supra, respectively.

Kamen discloses a method of using templates to invoke a process. It is noted that Kamen does not explicitly disclose the function of selecting grey range and/or color range windowing through geometrical mouse positioning, however, this is known in the art as taught by Motzer. Motzer discloses a graphical display system in which the color range of the image can be adjusted through mouse actuation (Figure 13C 392).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Motzer into Kamen because Kamen discloses a method of using templates to invoke a process and Motzer discloses the color of the image can be adjusted in order to better understand the resulted image.

13. Claims 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamen as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Goldberg et al. (5,963,203).

As per claims 6 and 12, Kamen demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of independent claims 1 and 7, supra.

Kamen discloses a method of using templates to invoke a process. It is noted that Kamen does not explicitly disclose the function of selectively navigating through a sequence of images that base on marginal stepping with respect to an imaged object, however, this is known in the art as taught by Goldberg et al., hereinafter Goldberg. Goldberg discloses a method of viewing a sequence of image in which "selection of basic frames/objects for the root image, extractable objects and the like by stepping slowly through the video sequence and, for example, using a mouse to place a cursor on frames or points of frames which are of interest", column 14, line 14-18.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Goldberg into Kamen because Kamen discloses a method of using templates to invoke a process and Goldberg discloses a method of stepping a sequence of stored images in order to increase the viewing options of the images.

### ***Response to Arguments***

14. Applicant's arguments, see Amendment, filed 12/8/2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-13 under Chekerylla have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Kamen et al..

### ***Conclusion***

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

***Inquiries***

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan R Yang whose telephone number is (571) 272-7666. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on (571) 272-7664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
Ryan Yang  
April 12, 2005